

*These are the demographic/socio-economic data tables for a **DART Insight** poll conducted June 8-13, 2018 as part of a regular sounding of Canadians on various issues and matters that affect their lives as citizens, consumers, and voters. The socio-demographic data tables are under separate cover. The research is created and analyzed under the direction of veteran pollster John Wright, CEO of **DART Insight** which is a division of **DART Insight and Communications Limited** in partnership with Canada's national survey sample research provider **Maru/Blue** that curates a vast Online Panel and provides data collection services. **This survey is on the topic of Asylum Seekers into Canada.** The following are the questions asked:*

As you may know, there are asylum seekers making illegal crossings into Canada from the United States, especially into Quebec. Some are people who have resided in the United States and have been targeted by the Trump administration for deportation. Others have arrived in the United States from another country and then taken a bus to a crossing point where they enter Canada and claim asylum. Because of international agreements, Canada cannot simply turn people back or away at the crossing point. Canadian agencies then process these individuals, but it could be a while before it is determined if they can stay. Thinking about this circumstance would you say the Federal government...

[randomize]

- has handled this situation well
- has a clear plan on how to deal with this situation
- is ensuring that these asylum seekers are being treated humanely
- is providing the right amount of resources for communities to deal with these border crossings
- sending a signal to would be asylum seekers that there are no guarantees they will be able to stay in Canada

Very much

Somewhat

Not very much

Not at all

*The survey was conducted among 5,357 randomly selected Canadian adults who are members of the **Maru/Blue** Online panel between June 8 and 13, 2018. The results have been weighted by education, age, gender, and region (and in Quebec, language) to match the population, according to Census data. This is to ensure the sample is representative of the entire adult population of Canada. Discrepancies in or between totals are due to rounding. The precision of this **DART Insight** Online poll is measured using a Bayesian Credibility Interval. In this case, the poll for a weighted base of 5,357 respondents is accurate to within +/- 1.5 percentage points, 19 times out of 20, had all Canadian adults been polled. The credibility interval will be wider among subsets of the population. Minor discrepancies in the data may occur due to rounding.*

For a copy of the full written analysis with the detailed tables complete with questions asked visit <http://www.dartincom.ca/polls/> and download the results freely.

John Wright
CEO - **DART Insight**
(416) 919-2101
jwright@dartincom.ca

About **DART Insight and **Maru/Blue**...**

DART Insight is the market and public affairs opinion research services division of Canadian based consultancy **DART Insight and Communications**. The other half of the company is **DART Communications** and provides communications strategy and execution, media training, event deployment and corporate services. The company serves clients in private, public, association and not-for-profit organizations, is independent, and is not tied to any political organization. Founded by **veteran pollster and commentator John Wright (CEO DART Insight)** and **communications specialist Victoria Ollers (CEO DART Communications)**, the company is comprised of highly experienced, recognized and awarded senior practitioners in many related disciplines who have gathered together under one banner to serve clients in North America and beyond.

Public releases of polling results, including detailed tables (weighted/unweighted), questions used and analysis, are provided freely at <http://www.dartincom.ca/polls/>

DART Insight and Communications is a member in good standing with the Marketing Intelligence and Research Association (MIRA) of Canada. Accuracy of any Online polling results are measured using a Bayesian Credibility Interval which is endorsed by MIRA and the American Association of Public Opinion Research (AAPOR).

Maru/Blue is a premium quality data services firm that provides reliable global data connections for brands, agencies, and market research. We create value for our clients by connecting them with expertly profiled known respondents. The result? Reliable, reproducible insights. We deliver instant access to the general population, specific markets, and your or your competitor's customers. We began disrupting the market community industry in 2000. Our market communities broke new ground, adding depth and richness to clients' understanding of what motivates their customers and shapes their markets. Now, as part of the **Maru Group**, we continue to provide reliable global data connections for agencies, brands, and market research firms. **Springboard America**, and **Maru Voice Canada**, established more than a decade ago, are a testament to our commitment and depth of engagement. More recently we developed the **Maru Voice Business Canada** and Springboard America Business Forum, both an excellent source for business to business research.

Discover us at:

www.dartincom.ca www.marublue.net

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS. [Top2box summary] Thinking about this circumstance would you say the Federal government...

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue All Statements Randomized												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Has handled this situation well	2246	1006	1240	734	884	628	863	757	398	916	676	653
	42%	39%	44%	54%	40%	35%	44%	41%	46%	38%	40%	52%
			B	EF								JK
Has a clear plan on how to deal with this situation	1627	749	878	494	707	426	607	560	277	684	486	457
	30%	29%	31%	36%	32%	24%	31%	30%	32%	29%	28%	36%
				F	F							JK
Is ensuring that these asylum seekers are being treated humanely	4509	2155	2354	1145	1827	1537	1602	1581	777	1953	1472	1083
	84%	84%	84%	84%	83%	86%	82%	86%	89%	82%	86%	86%
									G			
Is providing the right amount of resources for communities to deal with these border crossings	2284	1068	1215	701	936	647	905	714	387	980	732	571
	43%	42%	44%	51%	43%	36%	46%	39%	44%	41%	43%	45%
				EF	F		H					
Sending a signal to would be asylum seekers that there are no guarantees they will be able to stay in Canada	2246	1040	1206	627	894	726	880	719	388	983	652	611
	42%	40%	43%	46%	41%	40%	45%	39%	44%	41%	38%	48%
												JK

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS. [Bottom2box summary] Thinking about this circumstance would you say the Federal government...

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue All Statements Randomized												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Has handled this situation well	3111	1563	1548	637	1306	1168	1095	1078	476	1464	1034	613
	58%	61%	56%	46%	60%	65%	56%	59%	54%	62%	60%	48%
		C			D	D				L	L	
Has a clear plan on how to deal with this situation	3730	1821	1910	876	1484	1370	1351	1276	598	1696	1224	809
	70%	71%	69%	64%	68%	76%	69%	70%	68%	71%	72%	64%
						DE				L	L	
Is ensuring that these asylum seekers are being treated humanely	848	415	433	225	364	259	356	254	97	427	238	183
	16%	16%	16%	16%	17%	14%	18%	14%	11%	18%	14%	14%
							I					
Is providing the right amount of resources for communities to deal with these border crossings	3073	1501	1572	669	1255	1149	1053	1122	487	1400	978	695
	57%	58%	56%	49%	57%	64%	54%	61%	56%	59%	57%	55%
					D	DE		G				
Sending a signal to would be asylum seekers that there are no guarantees they will be able to stay in Canada	3111	1530	1581	744	1297	1070	1078	1116	486	1397	1058	656
	58%	60%	57%	54%	59%	60%	55%	61%	56%	59%	62%	52%
										L	L	

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS -Has handled this situation well

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Very Much	462	237	225	174	181	107	235	131	71	221	124	117
	9%	9%	8%	13%	8%	6%	12%	7%	8%	9%	7%	9%
				EF			HI					
Somewhat	1784	769	1014	560	704	520	628	627	327	695	552	537
	33%	30%	36%	41%	32%	29%	32%	34%	37%	29%	32%	42%
			B	EF								JK
Not very much	1384	584	800	310	603	471	487	478	198	596	492	296
	26%	23%	29%	23%	28%	26%	25%	26%	23%	25%	29%	23%
			B								L	
Not at all	1728	980	748	327	704	697	608	600	279	868	542	317
	32%	38%	27%	24%	32%	39%	31%	33%	32%	36%	32%	25%
		C			D	DE				L	L	
Top2box	2246	1006	1240	734	884	628	863	757	398	916	676	653
	42%	39%	44%	54%	40%	35%	44%	41%	46%	38%	40%	52%
			B	EF								JK
Bottom2box	3111	1563	1548	637	1306	1168	1095	1078	476	1464	1034	613
	58%	61%	56%	46%	60%	65%	56%	59%	54%	62%	60%	48%
		C			D	D				L	L	

Q_ASYLUM SEEKERS-Has a clear plan on how to deal with this situation

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Very Much	244	110	134	48	131	65	101	88	42	88	87	69
	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%
Somewhat	1383	639	744	446	576	361	506	472	235	595	399	388
	26%	25%	27%	33%	26%	20%	26%	26%	27%	25%	23%	31%
				F	F							K
Not very much	1861	815	1047	480	726	656	688	619	288	770	628	463
	35%	32%	38%	35%	33%	37%	35%	34%	33%	32%	37%	37%
			B									
Not at all	1869	1006	863	397	757	715	664	656	309	926	596	346
	35%	39%	31%	29%	35%	40%	34%	36%	35%	39%	35%	27%
		C				D				L	L	
Top2box	1627	749	878	494	707	426	607	560	277	684	486	457
	30%	29%	31%	36%	32%	24%	31%	30%	32%	29%	28%	36%
				F	F							JK
Bottom2box	3730	1821	1910	876	1484	1370	1351	1276	598	1696	1224	809
	70%	71%	69%	64%	68%	76%	69%	70%	68%	71%	72%	64%
						DE				L	L	

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS-Is ensuring that these asylum seekers are being treated humanely

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Very Much	1984	981	1004	439	881	664	742	687	327	840	659	485
	37%	38%	36%	32%	40%	37%	38%	37%	37%	35%	39%	38%
					D							
Somewhat	2524	1174	1350	706	946	873	860	894	450	1113	813	598
	47%	46%	48%	52%	43%	49%	44%	49%	51%	47%	48%	47%
				E					G			
Not very much	518	245	273	130	226	162	196	161	68	222	154	141
	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	8%	9%	9%	11%
Not at all	331	170	161	95	138	97	160	93	29	205	84	42
	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	5%	8%	5%	3%	9%	5%	3%
							HI			KL		
Top2box	4509	2155	2354	1145	1827	1537	1602	1581	777	1953	1472	1083
	84%	84%	84%	84%	83%	86%	82%	86%	89%	82%	86%	86%
									G			
Bottom2box	848	415	433	225	364	259	356	254	97	427	238	183
	16%	16%	16%	16%	17%	14%	18%	14%	11%	18%	14%	14%
							I					

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS-Is providing the right amount of resources for communities to deal with these border crossings

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Very Much	554	314	240	164	216	174	245	157	79	261	179	114
	10%	12%	9%	12%	10%	10%	12%	9%	9%	11%	10%	9%
		C					H					
Somewhat	1730	754	976	537	719	473	660	557	308	719	553	457
	32%	29%	35%	39%	33%	26%	34%	30%	35%	30%	32%	36%
			B	F	F							
Not very much	1796	821	975	410	726	660	568	677	318	762	569	465
	34%	32%	35%	30%	33%	37%	29%	37%	36%	32%	33%	37%
								G	G			
Not at all	1277	680	597	259	529	489	485	444	169	638	409	230
	24%	26%	21%	19%	24%	27%	25%	24%	19%	27%	24%	18%
		C				D				L	L	
Top2box	2284	1068	1215	701	936	647	905	714	387	980	732	571
	43%	42%	44%	51%	43%	36%	46%	39%	44%	41%	43%	45%
				EF	F		H					
Bottom2box	3073	1501	1572	669	1255	1149	1053	1122	487	1400	978	695
	57%	58%	56%	49%	57%	64%	54%	61%	56%	59%	57%	55%
					D	DE		G				

Q_ASYLUM_SEEKERS-Sending a signal to would be asylum seekers that there are no guarantees they will be able to stay in Canada

Asylum Demo Tables Q2 Comparison Groups: BC/DEF/GHI/JKL/MN Paired/Overlap T-Test for Means, Paired/Overlap Z-Test for Percentages Uppercase letters indicate significance at the 95% level. Maru/Blue												
		Gender		Age			Income			Education		
	Total	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	<\$50K	\$50-99K	\$100K+	HS or less	Tech school	Univ+
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
BASE: All Respondents	5357	2570	2787	1370	2191	1796	1958	1835	874	2380	1711	1266
BASE: UNWEIGHTED	5357	2609	2748	797	1682	2878	1631	1819	1084	1352	2258	1747
Very Much	622	319	303	128	253	241	241	211	109	280	167	175
	12%	12%	11%	9%	12%	13%	12%	11%	12%	12%	10%	14%
Somewhat	1624	721	903	499	641	485	639	508	279	703	486	435
	30%	28%	32%	36%	29%	27%	33%	28%	32%	30%	28%	34%
				EF								K
Not very much	1541	740	801	373	640	528	527	573	243	658	503	379
	29%	29%	29%	27%	29%	29%	27%	31%	28%	28%	29%	30%
Not at all	1570	790	780	371	657	542	550	543	244	738	555	276
	29%	31%	28%	27%	30%	30%	28%	30%	28%	31%	32%	22%
										L	L	
Top2box	2246	1040	1206	627	894	726	880	719	388	983	652	611
	42%	40%	43%	46%	41%	40%	45%	39%	44%	41%	38%	48%
Bottom2box	3111	1530	1581	744	1297	1070	1078	1116	486	1397	1058	656
	58%	60%	57%	54%	59%	60%	55%	61%	56%	59%	62%	52%
										L	L	